

# POINT BLANK

**STRAIGHT TALK  
ABOUT WHAT YOU  
CAN DO TO  
PRESERVE YOUR  
RIGHT TO KEEP AND  
BEAR ARMS**



**June 2014**

Volume XXXIV No. 6

**IN THIS ISSUE**

|                                    |     |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| Microstamping Lawsuit              | 1   |
| Antis Exploit Tragedy              | 2   |
| Polls Shows Moderates Shifting     | 3   |
| Real Grassroots Volunteers         | 3   |
| Response to NAGR                   | 4-5 |
| Citizen Action Project             | 5   |
| Defender of the Month              | 6   |
| Anti-Gun Billboard Leaving Boston? | 6   |
| Quick Shots                        | 7   |

**CITIZENS  
COMMITTEE  
FOR THE RIGHT  
TO KEEP AND  
BEAR ARMS**

*(a non-profit corporation)*

12500 N.E. Tenth Place  
Bellevue, Washington 98005

Capitol Hill Office:  
1350 Eye St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005

## **CCRKBA BLASTS BRADY CAMPAIGN OVER N.J. LAWSUIT**

When the anti-gun Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence sued the acting New Jersey attorney general in a gambit to start a process that would end with a requirement that only smart handguns could be bought and sold in the Garden State, the Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms was quick to react.

CCRKBA Chairman Alan Gottlieb declared that the lawsuit, which was joined by the Mercer County Chapter of the Million Mom March, was "gun prohibition fanaticism" hitting "a new low mark." He got some company from Scott Bach, executive director of the Association of New Jersey Rifle and Pistol Clubs, who called New Jersey's smart-gun law "as dumb as it gets."

If successful, the lawsuit would force the state attorney general to take the first step in the implementation of New Jersey's 2002 "smart gun" law. CCRKBA believes it could ultimately lead to an end of consumer choice in the purchase or sale of handguns unless they incorporate whatever "smart gun" technology exists, because the law says that three years after the attorney general makes his first report on the availability of "personalized handguns" for retail sale, no manufacturer, wholesaler or retailer can sell, assign or otherwise transfer any handgun unless it is a "personalized handgun."

There is an exemption in the law for law enforcement officers, which Bach suggested tells all that needs to be told about so-called "smart guns."

Gottlieb has called "smart guns" unproven and unreliable, and the only gun in the headlines lately fitting the description of a "smart gun" is made by Armatix in Europe, and only in .22-caliber rimfire. That's not considered a good choice for personal protection. Of course, nobody in the gun prohibition lobby cares about that.

"What the Brady Campaign wants is to force consumers to purchase a product that is, at best, unreliable, placing them at serious risk if they want a gun for personal protection," Gottlieb said. "In the process, the gun control lobby is trying to strip away the right of personal choice, and ban the sale and transfer of current handguns."

The lawsuit, he observed, is really a stab at a "back door gun ban" because it would essentially outlaw every traditional handgun.

There have been hints that the law might be repealed, but that could also be election year rhetoric designed to lull gun owners.

"For years," Gottlieb noted, "the Brady Campaign and other gun prohibition lobbying groups, have been trying to disarm law-abiding Americans. Now they want to force New Jersey gun owners to provide a national experiment that could have disastrous results. If police don't trust these firearms, why should American consumers?"

# ANTI-GUNNERS EXPLOIT SANTA BARBARA MURDERS

Gun prohibitionists at two leading anti-gun organizations wasted no time in promoting their agendas by exploiting the multiple slayings that marred the Memorial Day weekend in Santa Barbara, California.

The Brady Campaign and Moms Demand Action seemed to trip over one another in exploiting the murder spree, with one blaming the “corporate gun lobby” and the other suggesting that the answer to such crimes is a domestic violence law co-sponsored by Sen. Amy Klobuchar.

The Santa Barbara tragedy was not prevented by precisely the kind of law that anti-gunners want from Congress. Suspected killer Elliot Rodger bought three handguns, all at retail shops. He went through three different “universal background checks” and California’s waiting period. In addition, he only had ten-round magazines, which is what anti-gunners have argued will prevent mass slayings.

None of this stopped the Brady Campaign from issuing a statement from President Dan Gross, who asserted, “Americans are dying every day because of the corporate gun lobby and the politicians it has in its pocket.

“Real solutions exist that are supported by the overwhelming majority of Americans — solutions that would prevent many of the 90 gun deaths that happen in our nation every day,” Gross continued. “And yet, the corporate gun lobby relentlessly blocks progress toward every commonsense solution. It gives substantial sums of money to buy politicians to ignore the will and well-being of the American people — by opposing expanded background

checks and calling research into gun violence ‘unethical.’”

“These politicians do not care who is buying guns — convicted felons, domestic abusers, rapists — just as long as the corporate gun lobby is happily making the biggest possible profit,” the statement added.

But CCRKBA quickly noted that such rhetoric merely exploits tragedy to push the same tired agenda of gun control that the prohibition lobby has been pandering for years.

Shannon Watts, founder of Moms Demand Action, insisted that, because two of the six victims were women, “The fact is, women are the target of far too many shootings in America. The story that desperately needs to be told is that the majority of mass shootings in this country are in fact domestic violence incidents.”

But domestic violence had nothing to do with the deaths of the two female victims. They were shot together on a sidewalk, and clearly from Rodger’s own “manifesto,” he had not had any kind of a relationship with a woman.

And Watts did not explain how domestic violence is even remotely connected to the Navy Yard shooting, or the Aurora “Batman Massacre,” or Tucson, or Seattle’s Jewish Federation and Café Racer incidents, or Sandy Hook, Clackamas Town Square, Trolley Square or Luby’s Cafeteria. What is a common denominator in nearly all of those cases is that the shooters had mental health problems that were known, but not addressed.

Watts contends that Klobuchar’s legislation “would add stalkers to the group of people prohibited from buying guns, which already

includes the severely mentally ill and violent criminals. It would prohibit all domestic abusers from buying guns, including dating partners -- not just spouses.”

This legislation has nothing to do with the crime that was committed, CCRKBA noted. Watts didn’t claim that all six victims were shot, but her message doesn’t really address the fact that three male victims were fatally stabbed.

Strict gun laws exist in Santa Barbara, as they do in all of California. And the suspected killer in this case killed twice as many men as he did women.



## POINT BLANK

*“Straight talk about what you can do to preserve your right to keep and bear arms.”*

**Editor** J H Versnel  
**Publisher** Alan M. Gottlieb  
**Managing Editor** Dave Workman  
**Associate Editors** Tom Gresham  
 Herb Stupp  
 Peggy Tartaro  
 Joe Waldron  
 Rhett Workman  
 Malia Zimmerman

**POINT BLANK** is published monthly by Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms, Liberty Park, 12500 N.E. Tenth Place, Bellevue, Washington 98005.

Copyright © 2014 CCRKBA

**Address Change:** Send new address, city, state, and zip code to **POINT BLANK** and send to CCRKBA, 12500 N.E. 10 Pl. Place, Bellevue, WA 98005 or email [information@ccrkba.org](mailto:information@ccrkba.org) Please allow four weeks for change to become effective.

# GUN RIGHTS POLL SHOWS SHIFT AMONG MODERATES

A recent poll by the Third Way, the self-styled “moderate” think tank, shows that while a majority of Americans favor expanding background checks, 58 percent of respondents identifying themselves as moderates say existing gun laws are sufficient, according to the *Wall Street Journal*.

The Third Way began life as Americans for Gun Safety and the AGS Foundation.

Called “The State of the Center” poll, this survey focused on how so-called “moderate” voters, who see themselves as in the middle of polarizing arguments.

The newspaper quoted Third Way’s approach to defining moderates: “We found moderates weighing competing values and

considering both positive and negative consequences for policies they generally support. This tension sometimes translates into support for policies that may seem at odds with each other to those in the more ideological camps.”

According to the poll results, 93 percent of liberals, 84 percent of moderates and 72 percent of conservatives support expanded background checks.

Only 40 percent of the moderates thought more gun laws are needed.

One thing revealed by the *Wall Street Journal* was that the poll of moderates is inherently skewed toward Democrats. Nearly 40 percent of self-described moderates acknowledged owning a firearm. The survey listed 37 percent of their

overall respondents as “moderate”, and of those, 37 percent indicated they were Democrats, 26 percent were Republicans and a sizeable 48 percent consider themselves as “independents” with no party preference.

The results of this survey also suggest that continued education of voters on their Second Amendment rights is key on the gun issue, and the Citizens’ Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms is committed to continuing those efforts throughout this year, and encourages its members to help in that effort at the state and local levels.

Polling occurred April 14-18 from among 1,500 registered voters with a margin of error at +/- 2.53 percentage points.

# CCRKBA VOLUNTEERS PRACTICE REAL ‘GRASSROOTS’

Volunteers helped the Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms connect with voters by distributing more than 6,000 bumper stickers at gun shows in Washington reminding people to vote for an initiative that prevents government gun confiscation without due process, and against another measure that mandates so-called “universal background checks,”.

CCRKBA is part of the “Protect Our Gun Rights” coalition that is sponsoring Initiative 591 in Washington. This measure not only prevents gun grabs à la post-Hurricane Katrina confiscations, it also requires that background checks

done in Washington State comply with a uniform national standard.

The black-and-white bumper stickers, provided by CCRKBA, simply advise “YES on 591” and “NO on 594.” CCRKBA Chairman Alan Gottlieb, who has become a point man on the I-591 campaign, said the bumper sticker effort was so successful that he has ordered 10,000 more for distribution through gun shows, gun shops and other venues.

Second Amendment activists have drawn a clear distinction between this type of effort and Initiative 594, the \$50 million so-called “grassroots” campaign launched by anti-gun billionaire Michael Bloomberg that

was dubbed “Everytown for Gun Safety.” There is not much “grassroots” involved when a campaign pumps millions of dollars into an effort to move people around and motivate anti-gunners to present the public impression of activism.

Joining CCRKBA in the I-591 campaign are the Hunters Heritage Council, Washington State Law Enforcement Firearms Instructors Association, Washington Arms Collectors and Washington State Rifle & Pistol Association.

A separate effort, mounted by the Washington Citizens Against Regulatory Excess, is focusing strictly on defeating I-594.

# CCRKBA FIRES BACK OVER

Days after the National Association for Gun Rights (NAGR) launched a fund-raising e-mail that attacked CCRKBA Chairman Alan Gottlieb with the ridiculous accusation that he is “leading the fight for national gun registration,” Gottlieb fired back with a slamming rebuttal that streaked across the Internet.

Gottlieb has championed gun rights actions and been the moving force behind gun rights legal actions for more than three decades. Not only does he chair CCRKBA, he also founded and serves as the executive vice president of the Second Amendment Foundation, CCRKBA's sister organization that brought the landmark Second Amendment lawsuit against the City of Chicago.

Subsequent to the release of this statement, Gottlieb was a guest on the nationally-syndicated “Gun Talk” Sunday radio show hosted by Tom Gresham. While expressing reluctance to “air dirty laundry” in public, this was something that both Gresham and Gottlieb felt needed to be done.

Below is the response to the accusation, which was posted to both the SAF and CCRKBA Facebook pages:

Dudley Brown and his “National Association for Gun Rights” (NAGR) have built a reputation by attacking every other major gun rights organization and even pro-gun politicians, to the detriment of the gun rights movement.

Now Dudley has spewed his venom toward Alan Gottlieb, a true champion of the Second Amendment with a proven track record of success. Gottlieb is founder and executive vice president of the Second Amendment Foundation (SAF), and

chairman of the Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms (CCRKBA).

In his latest effort to raise money, Dudley Brown has spewed a vicious lie about Alan Gottlieb, accusing the veteran gun rights advocate of “Leading the fight for national gun registration.”

Alan Gottlieb has never advocated gun registration in his life. His legislative efforts have been to prevent that, and Dudley knows it.

Sean Tonner, deputy chief of staff for former Republican Colorado Gov. Bill Owens, was quoted by The Denver Magazine, asserting, “All Dudley wanted to do was create controversy. He makes his money when there's turmoil, real or perceived, because that's what gets his members to write him checks.”

It is time to call Dudley what he is, a political bomb-throwing bully whose stock in trade is to incite distrust and discontent within the ranks of the gun rights movement.

Instead of directing his energies toward fighting the real enemy, Dudley Brown has attacked other gun rights organizations in an effort to elevate his own group, but at what cost to gun rights?

When anti-gunners see people in the gun rights movement attacking one another they cheer. Such vicious attacks provide aid and comfort to the enemies of the Second Amendment.

The Second Amendment Foundation has championed gun rights legal actions and won in federal courts and the U.S. Supreme Court. Remember, it was SAF that took *McDonald v. City of Chicago* to the Supreme Court and won. Where was Dudley?

SAF and CCRKBA have conducted the annual Gun Rights Policy Conference for more than 25 years, bringing together major gun rights leaders with

grassroots activists to unify and expand the gun rights movement. Where was Dudley?

When SAF and the National Rifle Association joined forces to stop the unconstitutional gun grab in New Orleans following Hurricane Katrina, where was Dudley?

When SAF and NRA joined forces to defeat the San Francisco gun ban, where was Dudley?

When SAF, NRA and CCRKBA joined forces to defeat the City of Seattle's parks gun ban – strengthening state preemption and providing a lesson for anyone who might challenge other states' preemption laws, where was Dudley?

When the International Association for the Protection of Civilian Arms Rights (IAPCAR) was created, Alan Gottlieb was creating an organization that now has member groups from over 20 countries. Where was Dudley?

When multi-national gun rights organizations gather in Europe to resist global gun control efforts, Alan Gottlieb is there, but where is Dudley?

Where is Dudley? We'll ask again: WHERE – IS – DUDLEY? He is AWOL!

CCRKBA is currently fighting oppressive gun control legislation in Washington State, to prevent passage of the kind of gun laws that were adopted in Colorado, right under Dudley's nose. Alan Gottlieb has helped bring together a coalition of organizations that includes gun collectors, hunters, competitors and law enforcement professionals. Their goal is to prevent expansion of federally-mandated background checks and state handgun registration, and prohibit government gun confiscation.

# 'DESPICABLE' ACCUSATION

Two years ago, Dudley tried to claim credit for a lawsuit victory that wasn't his.

When Students for Concealed Carry won a judgment against the University of Colorado, Dudley created the impression that it was his victory, claiming in a fund-raiser that his "National Foundation for Gun Rights" and Dudley's Colorado-based Rocky Mountain Gun Owners (RMGO) had "successfully overturned the college campus gun ban in the Colorado Supreme Court."

SCC President Daniel Crocker sent a blistering letter to Dudley that criticized him for "misleading remarks for the pecuniary gain of

your organizations..."

What was Dudley's contribution to that case? RMGO provided an amicus brief. SAF also filed an amicus brief in that case, but they never claimed credit for winning the case.

Dudley claimed to be at the United Nations for the Arms Trade Treaty Conference, yet nobody saw him in the building, but they did see Alan Gottlieb there.

Last year, the Minnesota Gun Owners Civil Rights Alliance felt it necessary to respond to one of Brown's e-mails regarding legislation that the MGOCRA had been supporting. Without naming him, the group posted a message stating, "There

is an inflammatory email being sent to Minnesotans by an out-of-state individual who has never actually accomplished anything for Minnesota gun rights (or those of any other state that we can see).

"The real purpose of this email is the same as all the rest of the emails this individual sends: to solicit donations," the message added.

We have never made it a practice to disparage other gun rights organizations. That's not constructive, nor does it further the cause of Second Amendment freedom. We are all supposed to be in this fight together.

This is just setting the record straight.

## CITIZEN ACTION PROJECT

Following the Sandy Hook school shooting, the Obama administration and its allies in Congress decided to exploit that tragedy as the launch pad for so-called "universal background checks" for every firearm transfer in the country. The proposed legislation failed.

At the same time, gun control advocates in Washington State filed a bill that required "universal background checks" on all firearms sold in the state. Supporters fell one vote short of passing that bill.

With the failure of their background check bill, Washington gun control advocates -- with the active support of Seattle millionaires -- filed an initiative (24 states and D.C. have an initiative process) requiring background checks not just on all firearms sold, but on all firearms transferred, a much broader and more intrusive requirement. This became I-594. Pro-gun elements filed a counter-initiative, I-591, that simply affirms existing federal law and also prohibits confiscation of firearms without due process of law.

Former New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg decided to jump into the initiative fight. His initial contribution was \$30,000 through the Mayors Against Illegal Guns group.

We normally don't focus on a single state for our Citizens Action Project, but we're making an exception. We believe if gun control advocates are successful in Washington state, this kind of anti-gun activism will quickly spread, at a minimum to other states with an initiative process. Bloomberg has already made it clear he has millions of dollars to invest in his efforts to erode our Second Amendment rights.

I-591 is just one page long. I-594 is 18 pages, filled with traps like felony violations and extending the potential waiting period on "delay" responses from three to as many as 30 days.

Protect Our Gun Rights (POGR) is the umbrella political action committee formed to promote I-591 and oppose I-594. Unlike I-594, with Bloomberg and Seattle millionaires threatening to spend millions to pass this intrusive gun control measure, I-591 is a true grassroots movement. It's up to gun owners. We calling on gun owners nationwide to donate to POGR and stop this dangerous measure before it appears in other states.

Donations to POGR may be made at the PAC's web site at <http://wagunrights.org/> or <http://wagunrights.org/donate/>. Isn't preservation of the Second Amendment worth the price of one or two boxes of ammunition?

# U.S. RESIDENT HELPS SECOND AMENDMENT FIGHT

Recent history has shown us that in the fight to advance gun rights for all American, it sometimes falls to a resident non-citizen to do some heavy lifting on behalf of the Second Amendment, and so it was with Martin Pot (pronounced "Pote").

Pot recently challenged an Arkansas law that only allowed concealed carry licenses to citizens. Supported by CCRKBA's sister organization, the Second Amendment Foundation, and represented by attorney David Sigale, Pot took the state to federal court.

U.S. District Judge Timothy L. Brooks, for the Western District of Arkansas, handed down the ruling in May.

This was a particularly speedy verdict, Gottlieb noted Pot filed his lawsuit only last November.

"This is yet another victory in our effort to expand Second Amendment protections in the United States," said CCRKBA Chairman Alan M. Gottlieb. "Mr. Pot is a law-abiding resident of Eureka Springs, and has been so since 1986. He came here almost 30 years ago, met and married his wife, and has many solid connections in his community.

"This case is not unique," Gottlieb noted. "We have successfully challenged other state laws, in New Mexico, Washington, Nebraska and Massachusetts. Legal resident aliens should not be penalized at

the expense of their self-defense rights. This case that should help a lot people."

Arkansas statute allowed Pot to possess a firearm only in his home, on his property or – under certain circumstances – while on a "journey." He was prohibited from obtaining a concealed carry permit because he is not a citizen.

Anytime a law-abiding person's right of self-defense is impaired, gun owners should be alarmed.

With allies like Martin Pot, the fight to fully restore the Second Amendment is made just a tiny bit easier. That's why *Point Blank* is recognizing him as our Gun Rights Defender of the Month.

# MATH-CHALLENGED ANTI-GUN ICON BILLBOARD MAY DISAPPEAR

Anti-gunners in the Northeast are lamenting what appears to be the impending departure of the 252-foot gun control billboard in Boston that has become an icon or an eyesore over nearly 20 years, as the building on which the billboard sits has been sold and the new owners apparently want to do something else with the advertising space.

The billboard was the brainchild of John Rosenthal, cofounder of Stop Handgun Violence, who also owned the parking garage on which the sign rests. He sold the garage to the parent company of the Boston Red Sox, according to a report in the Boston Globe.

It may be not much of a loss, considering that a digital counter that is part of the display is

mathematically challenged when it comes to listing "Americans killed" with firearms. The Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms recently broke out the pocket calculator and also visited the website for the FBI Uniform Crime Report to challenge a mid-May counter number that listed 45,864 people "killed since the massacre at Sandy Hook."

Unless that number included all firearms-related suicides, home and hunting accidents and justifiable homicides by police and armed private citizens – none of which should ever be considered crimes in the true sense – the figure would be bogus. A look at the FBI Uniform Crime Report showing homicide trends from 2008 through 2012 shows a total of 45,109 gun-related homicides

over those five years.

But that's the impression the number is supposed to create. It is dishonest, but it is a long-used subterfuge of the gun prohibition lobby; combine the invariably higher number of suicides, accidents and justifiable homicides with murder data and call them all "gun violence."

According to the newspaper report, Rosenthal is hunting around for affordable space somewhere along the Massachusetts Turnpike, Interstate 93 or Route 128, or "anywhere in Boston." The message on the billboard in May at the time the story broke was that "Assault weapons have stopping power. Fortunately, so does your vote. We need a Federal assault weapons ban NOW!"



# QUICK SHOTS

A candidate to become the next mayor of Nashville, TN, City Councilwoman Megan Barry, whom the newspaper noted is opposed to allowing carrying of guns in city parks and other public places, confirmed that she had taken, and passed, the course needed to get a state concealed carry permit. And why, you ask? She told the paper she wanted to understand the gun issue better.

“There’s so much conversation about guns and gun safety in our society, I figured the best way to understand it more deeply was to actually experience it,” Barry stated. “It’s a political conversation, and from my perspective, it’s always better to be engaging in the conversation than to ignore it.”



From suburban Pittsburgh, PA, there is news of a gun law that has been taken off the books in one town after over 40 years.

According to the Pittsburgh Tribune-Review, the town council of Upper Burrell, PA, at their meeting May 5 voted unanimously to scrap the law, which had banned certain types of rifles and pistols within the city limits, after it was discovered the law may have been in conflict with state law. A replacement ordinance was proposed, but was also scrapped, reports the newspaper, after citizens in attendance at the meeting, through an informal show of hands requested by the council, indicated they weren’t interested in having the council pursue additional action.



Gun rights will be on the ballot this fall in Missouri as state lawmakers voted last month to approve a proposed amendment to the state Constitution that would, if passed, strengthen the right to keep and bear arms.

The AP reports that the proposed amendment would call the right to keep and bear arms “unalienable” and require the state to challenge anything that would call that into question. It would also guarantee the right of self-defense with a gun.

However, the proposal also allows for leeway to allow the state legislature to pass laws prohibiting felons or the mentally ill from owning or possessing firearms.



Guam has announced changes in concealed carry permit rules, and they are good news for members in that U.S. Pacific Island territory.

The new bill has been passed by the Guam territorial legislature and is awaiting the signature of the governor that would establish a “shall issue” standard for permit applications, provided the applicants meet certain conditions, which include that they aren’t a convicted felon or have otherwise been imprisoned or had substance abuse treatment. Currently, the territory has a “may issue” law that allows the police department discretion on whether they issue permits.

The newspaper reported that the bill, which overwhelmingly received

legislative support, was one of several bills passed on the final day of their legislative session.



State legislators in Ohio are reviewing a new pro-gun measure, which was filed last month in the Ohio state Senate.

If passed and signed into law, it would do several things. Among them would be to reduce the amount of class time needed to successfully complete the mandated training required to obtain a state concealed carry permit; allow for state CCW permits for those out-of-state residents who are working in the state, and also on an emergency basis for people who will be residing in the state for short durations.



The *Minneapolis Star-Tribune* reported that police in the suburb of Brooklyn Park made what they called a “high-risk” traffic stop to detain a driver who had allegedly brandished a firearm toward another motorist. That suspect, according to the newspaper citing police, turned out to be a federal ATF agent.

The victim called police regarding the suspect; that driver was found later, on another freeway, and in order to arrest him, police had to close two lanes of that road, creating a long traffic jam.

After determining the man was employed by the feds and carrying his proper ID, he was sent on his way. It’s still under investigation.



**Register  
TODAY!**

**September 26, 27  
and 28, 2014**

**Hyatt Regency  
Chicago O'Hare Airport**

# **CELEBRATING FREEDOM**

**Sponsored by the Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms  
and the Second Amendment Foundation**

**Come meet national gun rights leaders and your fellow grassroots activists at the 29th Annual Gun Rights Policy Conference (GRPC 2014) in Chicago, Illinois. This is your once-a-year chance to network and get an insider look and plan pro-gun rights strategies for the coming year.**

**Past GRPCs have outlined victory plans and made public the latest firearms trends. They allow you a first-hand chance to hear movement leaders--and make your voice heard.**

**This year we'll take a look at critical issues such as: city gun bans, "smart" guns, concealed carry, federal legislation, legal actions, gun show regulation, state and local activity. We'll preview the 2014 elections, discuss state legislative battles and analyze Right to Keep and Bear Arms court cases.**

**The full roster of GRPC 2014 speakers has not yet been set. Past speakers have included: Alan M. Gottlieb, Joseph P. Tartaro, Eugene Volokh, G. Gordon Liddy, Michael Reagan, Larry Elder, Ken Hamblin, John Lott, Sandy Froman, Massad Ayoob, Tom Gresham, Alan Gura, Reps. Bob Barr and Joe Barton and many others. Check our web sites -- [www.saf.org](http://www.saf.org) or [www.ccrkba.org](http://www.ccrkba.org) for updates.**

## **CONFERENCE and HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS WORTH OF MATERIALS ARE FREE!**

Books, monographs and other materials—enough to start a Second Amendment library are free, as are Saturday luncheon, Friday and Saturday evening receptions and morning and afternoon snack breaks. Other meals, travel and lodging are to be paid by attendee. After you register, you will be mailed information about hotel reservations, invited speakers and a tentative agenda in August.

## **2014 Gun Rights Policy Conference / FREE**

Yes, I want to attend. I understand that registration, conference materials and luncheon will be provided courtesy of CCRKBA and SAF. All other meals, lodging and airfare are to be paid by attendee.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE (\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_

Fax \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_ Topics you would like discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Send to:

2014 GRPC/12500 NE Tenth Place/Bellevue, WA 98005  
Phone (425) 454-7012/ Fax (425) 451-3959  
Email your registration to: [GRPC2014@saf.org](mailto:GRPC2014@saf.org)